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Methods

**Data**

*Invertebrates*

We combined multiple SCUBA surveys conducted along the Olympic coast to characterize the benthic invertebrate fauna over time at 10 focal sites (Fig. 1). We extracted survey data from surveys conducted in 1987 (Kvitek et al. 1989, REF), 1995 (REF), and 1999 (REF) and conducted SCUBA surveys in August 2015 (see below). Unfortunately, not all sites were visited in all years (see Table 1) and the survey methodologies varied among surveys but all involved a mix of quadrat and transect sampling conducted at shallow depths (5-10m). Survey locations and survey depths were consistent over time. We have estimates of mean density and standard deviation in unit m-2 from the years 1987, 1995, and 1999, but we lack the raw data at the quadrat data. Importantly, the sampling design from 1987 – in which many quadrats were placed directly adjacent to one another – means that the number of independent replicates are far less than the number of quadrats samples (i.e. the quadrats are pseudo-replicated). In the absence of the information to estimate the effective sample size, we used a sample size of 20 for the 1987 data to better reflect this uncertainty. For 1999 we had both quadrat and transect information. We combined the quadrat and transect data by first calculating the mean and se for each data independently and combining the information using a weighted mean and weighted standard deviation. We used the inverse of SE2 as weights. Similarly, in 1995 and 1999 surveys were conducted at two depths at each site (6 an 12m). We elected to combine information from both depths, again weighting the different depths by SE2.

DESCRIPTION OF INVERT SURVEYS IN 2015.

*Red Urchin Fishery closed in Neah Bay region (#5) in 1995*

*Kelp*

We used publically available aerial surveys of algae from the Washington Department of Natural resources to docu ment changes in brown algae. We used the mostly annual surveys between 1989 and 2015 to examine changes in kelp area (no data were available for 1993). Coastal kelp forests of the Olympic coast consist of a mix of giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera)* and bull kelp*(Nereocystis luetkeana).* While overflight surveys differentiate between the two species (REF), we are primarily interested in the canopy habitat kelps and so we focus on the total surface area provided by the two species. Additionally, the two species abundance are strongly positively correlated in the data (Pfister et al. In Review). We examine kelp abundance at two scales. We use estimates of kelp area within discrete strata along the coast to provide estimates of local kelp area trends for each of our 10 focal study sites (see Fig. Supplement X). Additionally, we summed the area of kelp present in all strata between Neah Bay and Destruction Island (Fig. 1) to provide a coastwide estimate kelp along the northern Olympic coast (see Supplement for list of survey strata used for each area and areas used to derive a total kelp area metric). Surveys were conducted during peak kelp abundance in Washington (late summer: late July or early August)

BLAKE: add detailed methods if needed.

*Sea Otter*

We extracted otter location and abundance information from publically available research reports and published literature. Otter surveys have been conducted by a mix of aerial survey and land-based observations since 1977 (see e.g. THESE REPORTS), every two years through the 1980s (no data in 1979, 80, 82, 84, 86, or 88), and mostly annually from 1989-2015 (no data in 2009 or 2014). We mapped the location of otter observations from WDFW reports to examine shifts in otter abundance and distribution over the time-series. We used total otter counts for our analyses. Similar to the kelp data, otter surveys reflect summer distribution and abundance (REF). As otters are highly mobile predators with substantial home-ranges and the potential to have distinct seasonal distributions (Laidre et al. XXXX), our data only allow us to discuss summer distributions. However, anecdotal evidence does not suggest that winter distribution of otters are substantially different (Laidre et al. XXXX) and we make use of published otter home-range sizes to help incorporate uncertainty in the effect of otters along the coast.

Analyses for each type

Kelp:

* Area
  + Total
  + By each strata associated with invertebrate surveys
* Trend since 1990
  + Regression to estimate exponential change in area since 1990 by region

Otters:

* Total Coastwide
* Kernel density smoothed onto 1D shoreline for each focal area using a kernel of 10km or so corresponding to a 95% home range of 40km.
  + Center of gravity
* Local population trend
  + Regression to estimate exponential change in area since 1990.

Comparisons:

* Local otter growth explain local kelp growth (plus otter pop in 1980)

Description of what Ole did to the OCNMS data to massage and poke the data to make it comparable.

Raw data from Kvitek’s 1987, 1995, and 1999 are generally not available, so we extracted summary statistics about density of benthic invertebrates from project reports for each year. However, the methods for estimating the density of benthic invertebrates varied substantially among years (See TABLE) and we needed to convert data from each survey year into common units for comparison. We elected to translate all of the different surveys into units of countsm-2. We have estimates of mean density and standard deviation in unit m-2 from the years 1987, 1995, and 1999, but we lack the raw data at the quadrat data. Importantly, the sampling design from 1987 – in which many quadrats were placed directly adjacent to one another – means that the number of independent replicates are far less than the number of quadrats samples (i.e. the quadrats are pseudo-replicated). In the absence of the information to estimate the effective sample size, we used a sample size of 20 for the 1987 data to better reflect the uncertainty.

For 1999 we had both quadrat and transect information. We combined the quadrat and transect data by first calculating the mean and se for each data independently and combining the information using a weighted mean and weighted standard deviation. We used the inverse of SE2 as weights. Similarly, in 1995 and 1999 surveys were conducted at two depths at each site (6 an 12m). We elected to combine information from both depths, again weighting the different depths by SE2.

Weirdness: No seastar info from 1995.

Invertebrate Survey description in table form.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Survey |  | Area surveyed per site (m2) |
| 1987 | 1.0m2 quadrat by SCUBA | 100 per site. Not randomly placed locations | 100 |
|  | All counts conducted *in situ* |  |  |
| 1995 | 0.25m2 quadrat by SCUBA using video | 14-35 per site | 3.5-8.75 |
|  | Counts done by post-processing video. Most of the locations with video collected were not actually processed. |  |  |
| 1999 | 0.25m2 quadrat by SCUBA using video | 30 per site | 7.5 |
|  | 25 x 1m video transects | 3-4 per site | 75-100 |
| 2015 | 30 x 2m transects by SCUBA | 4 per site | 240 |
|  |  |  |  |

Sites and the years they were sampled.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1987 | 1995 | 1999 | | 2015 |
| **Sites** | Quadrats | Quadrats | Quadrats | Transects | Transects |
| Neah Bay | X (2 Sites) | X | X |  | X |
| Chibahdel Rocks |  |  | X |  | X |
| Tatoosh Island |  | X | X | X | X |
| Anderson Point | X | X | X | X | X |
| Point of the Arches | X | X | X | X | X |
| Cape Alava | X | X | X | X | X |
| Cape Johnson | X | X | X | X | X |
| Rock 305 | X | X | X | X | X |
| Teahwhit Head | X | X | X | X | X |
| Destruction Island |  |  | X |  | X |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 1 Map and Coastwide trends in otters and kelp area.



Figure 2:



 Figure 3?: Essentially a summary of Figure 2. Otter and Kelp exponential growth rates by site & region and the number of otters present at each site in 1990.

Figure 4? Otter Distribution

